

Exhibit " A"(About 10 min form the end of the recording see the highlighted part):Group meeting August 27, 2009 Sue Kennedy Court REP , Ann Clark Stuart NRPC

Promises are made to reassure people on the fact that Sue Kennedy could not decide anything without a vote from the group and Sue states that KM promised they would make sure we would not only be involved in the decision process but that KM would release enough info for us to make an informed decision and vote on it.

Arlene: "I just want to say I think that any decisions.... Sue ...any decisions obviously have to be made by the group...<cannot clearly hear the last word of this sentence>"

Sue: I I do not make decisions for the group .. I convey information back and forth between us and the lawyers. I would never want to make decisions ...

Lawrence: "Then how do we.. how do we at some point we have to make decisions .. there's 409 people? Would we have voting on the Yahoo group type of thing?"

Female voice : That's the easiest way of doing it. Yeah.

Sue: Yeah.Yeah

Lawrence: OK

.....

Lawrence : I am I am more concerned about .. I am more concerned about what power Sue has ...that's what I am worried about..

<many people talking >

Lawrence: OK. My understanding is ..she's just gonna information and she will look for some type of vote or consensus on how we move....

Anne: She does not have the power to make decisions on ..on

Sue No.No.

Anne:.....on your behalf without having consensus.

Lawrence; Ok. That's all I wanted to know. It could be any scenario.

<many people talking >

Anne; Don Sproule can't make a decision of any financial things...

Arlene .. *I think that's because Sue is the only method we have of instructing our lawyers,*

Female voice ..*Yeah..Yeah*

Arlene so I think that he was just asking

Lawrence Ok.. *That was my concern*

<many people talking >

Sue: *That was the concern I had that how do you get the group to make decisions if I have access to information that you don't have access to.*

Lawrence *Yeah. That's what's kicking me in the pants..*

Sue :*That was the big question I asked them. And they said that we will never ask members of your group to have to make a decision if we haven't given them access to the information as well. Like, in other words, if we had to make a major decision like just that example I gave , they would have to allow at least enough of the information for everyone to be able to make a decision and to put their vote in.*

Male Voice: *An informed decision..*

Sue : *Yeah. An informed decision. In other words, What good would it do for me to say OK ,you guys ,you vote a) or b) and I have the information that you do not have? So they would have to make sure that they can give sufficient information for people to make an informed decision on their own. I don't know how they are going to do that, but they have promised that and they said otherwise these cases where you have one person is representing would never work. Right?*

These promises have been broken right after the meeting since the problems started soon after Km was duly appointed as well as Sue Kennedy. Coming back to the statement of Ms Philpot, regarding consultation of the group here are the two only times we were asked informally for input....